

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/870,023	05/30/2001	Charles L. Branch	MSDI-213/PC365.05	9301
52196 7590 01/11/2008 KRIEG DEVAULT LLP ONE INDIANA SQUARE, SUITE 2800 INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204-2709			EXAMINER	
			PHILOGENE, PEDRO	
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 40204-2709			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3733	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	09/870,023	BRANCH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Pedro Philogene	3733			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become A g date of this communication, even if	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
,	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>29 October 2007</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•			
4)	wn from consideration. rejected.	ation.			
Application Papers	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in sority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) b(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application			

09/870,023 Art Unit: 3733

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/29/07 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 67, 75, 97 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Paul et al. (6,258,125).

With respect to claims 67,75,97 Paul et al disclose a spinal fusion implant comprising a first end portion and second end portion, as best seen in FIG.9, an elongate bone portion (70,70') defining a longitudinal axis, and having a generally rectangular cross-section transverse to the longitudinal axis; as best seen in FIG.9, the bone portion comprising a first bone engaging surface (14), a second bone engaging surface (16); and a first side wall extending between the first and second bone engaging

Application/Control Number:

09/870,023 Art Unit: 3733

surfaces, wherein the first side wall comprises a concave outer surface; as best seen figure 9, extending axially between the first and second end portions; as best seen in FIG.9. and a second sidewall arranged opposite the first side wall and having a substantially planar portion (22) ,as best seen in FIG.9, defining a flat outer surface extending between the first and second bone engaging surfaces and axially along a length of the elongate bone portion between the first and second end portions; and wherein the concave outer surface of the first side wall is positioned opposite the flat outer surface of the second side wall; as best seen in FIG.9.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 63-65,69-74,76-89, 91,92,94-96,98-104 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paul et al. (6,258,125) in view of Dove et al. (4,904,261).

It is noted that that Paul et al et al disclose all the limitations; as set forth above, except for a second side wall comprising a convex outer surface extending axially between the first and second end portions; as claimed by applicant. However, in a similar art, Dove et al evidence the use of an implant with a second sidewall comprising a concave outer surface extending axially between a first and second end portions to

Application/Control Number:

09/870,023 Art Unit: 3733

act as a spacer between adjacent vertebrae at the peripheries of the ends thereof which are structurally the strongest parts.

Therefore, given the teaching of Dove et al, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Paul et a, as taught by Dove et al., by incorporating a second sidewall comprising a convex outer surface extending axially between the first and second end portions to act as a spacer between adjacent vertebrae at the peripheries of the ends thereof which are structurally the strongest parts.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 63-104 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro Philogene whose telephone number is (571) 272-4716. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on (571) 272 - 4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3733

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Pedro Philogene January 7, 2008

PEDRO PHILOGÉNE PRIMARY EXAMINER